L6

Eradication of antibiotic-resistant *E. coli* by the combination of antibiotics and electroporation in a continuous mode

Saša Haberl Meglič, Karel Flisar
University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Electrical Engineering

Duration of the experiment: day 1: 90 min; day 2: 60 min
Max. number of participants: 4
Location: Microbiological laboratory 2
Level: Basic

PREREQUISITES

Participants should be familiar with the Safety rules and Rules for sterile work in cell culture laboratory. No other specific knowledge is required for this laboratory practice.

The aim of this laboratory practice is to inactivate antibiotic-resistant bacteria by combining antibiotic and electroporation in a flow-through system.

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Antibiotics have long been the mainstay in treatment of bacterial infections, but their overuse and misuse combined with inadequate infection prevention has led to increasing bacterial resistance [1]. Therefore, the development of new approaches for efficient inactivation of drug-resistant bacteria is critical. Electroporation, a process in which electric fields are applied to bacterial cells, has shown promise as an adjunct to conventional antibiotic treatment [2-5].

When a cell is exposed to a sufficiently high electric field, its membrane becomes permanently permeable, leading to the leakage of cellular components and thus to cell death [6]. Electroporation has already been shown to enhance the effect of antibiotics, and various bacteria have been successfully inactivated by combining electroporation and antibiotics [2-5]. In order to enable electroporation on a large scale, the development of flow-through processes has been proposed [7]. Thus, a flow-through treatment system consists of a pulse generator that provides continuous pulse treatment, flow-through chambers with electrodes, and a fluid handling system.

Understanding how antibiotics and electroporation inactivate bacteria is critical not only for developing effective strategies to treat bacteria, but also for preventing antibiotic resistance.

EXPERIMENT

We will inactivate *Escherichia coli* K12 ER2420 cells carrying plasmid pACYC184 encoding tetracycline resistance (New England BioLabs Inc., Ipswich, Massachusetts, USA) in a continuous flow system (see Figure 1) using various tetracycline concentrations and electrical pulse parameters. A prototype square wave pulse generator will be used to generate electrical pulses. The pulses will be monitored using an oscilloscope (LeCroy 9310C). The degree of inactivation will be determined using the plate counting method.



Figure 1. Continuous flow electroporation system. The circuit system includes a flow chamber with electrodes and a prototype square wave pulse generator. Voltage and current are both monitored throughout the experiment.

Protocol 1/2 (Electroporation of bacteria in a continuous flow system): On the first day of the experiment, bacterial cells will be grown for 3-4 hours (until early exponential phase) at 37°C in Luria Broth (LB) medium (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Deisenhofen, Germany) with shaking. *E. coli* cells will be then centrifuged (4248 g, 30 min, 4°C) and the pellet will be resuspended in 250 mM sucrose.

The exposure of cells to electrical pulses in a flow chamber in a continuous flow system depends on the geometry of the chamber and the frequency of the pulses at which the electroporator operates. The number of pulses is determined by equation 1. At this flow rate, the desired number of pulses is applied to the fluid and thus to the cells in the flow chamber. Since the volume of our cross-field chamber between the electrodes and the frequency are constant, the flow through the chamber can be determined:

$$q = \frac{f}{n} \cdot Q \qquad (1)$$

where q (L/min) is the flow rate, Q (L) is the volume between the two electrodes, and n is the number of pulses received by the fluid in the chamber during the dwell time. For a frequency of 10 Hz, calculate the flow rate (q) at which all the fluid is exposed to at least one pulse. The bacterial cells will be pumped through the system at the calculated flow rate, and pulses will be applied by the prototype pulse generator.

After electroporation treatment, take a small volume of the treated sample and add 40 or 150 µg/ml of tetracycline. Collect 20 µl of the treated sample and prepare dilutions ranging from 10^{-1} to 10^{-6}. Pipette three 10-µl drops of the different dilutions onto LB agar.

To determine the number of bacterial cells in our sample, make serial dilutions of the (untreated) bacterial sample ranging from 10^{-1} to 10^{-7} (dilute 20 µl of the untreated bacterial sample in 180 µl of 0.9% NaCl). Pipette three 10-µl drops of dilutions 10^{-5}, 10^{-6} and 10^{-7} onto LB agar.

Protocol 2/2 (Determining bacterial viability): After 24 hours of incubation at 37°C, count the colony forming units. Viability is expressed as log (N/N_0), where N is the number of colony forming units per ml in a treated sample and N_0 is the number of colony forming units per ml in an untreated sample.

Example of determining bacterial viability:
You counted 20 CFU in a control sample (dilution 10^{-7}) and 10 CFU in a treated sample (dilution 10^{-5}).

Number of bacterial cells per ml (control sample) = 20×10^7 (dilution factor of sample) x 100 (dilution factor of plating) = 2×10^{10} bacterial cells/ml

Number of bacterial cells per ml (treated sample) = 10×10^5 (dilution factor of sample) x 100 (dilution factor of plating) = 1×10^8 bacterial cells/ml

$\log N/N_0 = \log (1 \times 10^8 / 2 \times 10^{10}) =$ ***-2.301***

REFERENCES:

1. Levy S.B., Marshall B. Antibacterial resistance worldwide: causes, challenges and responses. *Nat Med,* 10:S122-129, 2004.
2. Novickij V., Svediene J., Paskevicius A., Markovskaja S., Lastauskiene E., Zinkeviciene A., Girkontaite I., Novickij J. Induction of different sensitization patterns of MRSA to antibiotics using electroporation. *Molecules,* 7:1799, 2018.
3. Lovšin Ž., Klančnik A., Kotnik T. Electroporation as an efficacy potentiator for antibiotics with different target sites. *Front Microbiol,* 12:722232, 2021.
4. Haberl Meglič S., Slokar D., Miklavčič D. Inactivation of antibiotic-resistant bacteria *Escherichia coli* by electroporation. *Front Microbiol,* 15:1347000, 2024.
5. Lovšin Ž., Kotnik T., Klančnik A. Antibiotic's target site affects the potentiation of *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* inhibition and inactivation by electroporation. *Front Microbiol,* 15:1331714, 2024.
6. Neumann E., Rosenheck K. Permeability changes induced by electric impulses in vesicular membranes. *J Membr Biol,* 10:279-90, 1972.
7. Flisar K., Haberl Meglic S., Morelj J., Golob J., Miklavčič D. Testing a prototype pulse generator for a continuous flow system and its use for *E. coli* inactivation and microalgae lipid extraction. *Bioelectrochemistry,* 100:44-51, 2014.

NOTES & RESULTS